The Challenges and Opportunities of Climate Change An Overview Based on the IPCC Fifth Assessment Report (AR5) Jean-Pascal van Ypersele

IPCC Vice-Chair, Candidate Chair Twitter: @JPvanYpersele

IPCC outreach event, UNESCAP, Bangkok,

18 August 2015

Thanks to the Belgian Federal Science Policy Office (BELSPO) and the Ministry of Foreign Affairs, and to my team at the Université catholique de Louvain for their support



INTERGOVERNMENTAL PANEL ON CLIMATE CHANGE

Why the IPCC (Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change) ? Established by WMO and UNEP in 1988

- to provide policy-makers with an objective source of information about
- causes of climate change,
- potential environmental and socio-economic impacts,
- possible response options (adaptation & mitigation).

WMO=World Meteorological Organization UNEP= United Nations Environment Programme



Key messages from IPCC AR5

- Human influence on the climate system is clear
- Continued emissions of greenhouse gases will increase the likelihood of severe, pervasive and irreversible impacts for people and ecosystems
- While climate change is a threat to sustainable development, there are many opportunities to integrate mitigation, adaptation, and the pursuit of other societal objectives
- Humanity has the means to limit climate change and build a more sustainable and resilient future





The concentrations of CO₂ have increased to levels unprecedented in at least the last 800,000 years.

Since 1950, extreme hot days and heavy precipitation have become more common



There is evidence that anthropogenic influences, including increasing atmospheric greenhouse gas concentrations, have changed these extremes

Impacts are already underway

- Tropics to the poles
- On all continents and in the ocean
- Affecting rich and poor countries (but the poor are more vulnerable everywhere)





RCP Scenarios: Atmospheric CO₂

concentration



Three stabilisation scenarios: RCP 2.6 to 6 One Business-as-usual scenario: RCP 8.5

AR5, chapter 12. WGI



Only the lowest (RCP2.6) scenario maintains the global surface temperature increase above the pre-industrial level to less than 2° C with at least 66% probability

Temperature change Southeast Asia (land) annual



IPCC, WGI, Annex I: Atlas of Global and Regional Climate Projections Supplementary Material RCP8.5

Precipitation change Southeast Asia (land) annual



IPCC, WGI, Annex I: Atlas of Global and Regional Climate Projections Supplementary Material RCP8.5

Sea Level





Regional key risks and potential for risk reduction: Asia (IPCC, AR5, SPM, Figure SPM.8)



Stabilization of atmospheric concentrations requires moving away from the baseline – regardless of the mitigation goal.



IPCC AR5 Synthesis Report

INTERGOVERNMENTAL PANEL ON Climate change

- Many scenario studies confirm that it is technically and economically feasible to keep the warming below 2°C, with more than 66% probability ("likely chance"). This would imply limiting atmospheric concentrations to 450 ppm CO₂-eq by 2100.
- Such scenarios for an above 66% chance of staying below 2°C imply reducing by 40 to 70% global GHG emissions compared to 2010 by mid-century, and reach zero or negative emissions by 2100.





Mitigation Measures



More efficient use of energy



Greater use of low-carbon and no-carbon energy

Many of these technologies exist today



Improved carbon sinks

- Reduced deforestation and improved forest management and planting of new forests
- Bio-energy with carbon capture and storage

Lifestyle and behavioural changes

AR5 WGIII SPM





- Substantial reductions in emissions would require large changes in investment patterns e.g., from 2010 to 2029, in billions US dollars/year: (mean numbers rounded, IPCC AR5 WGIII Fig SPM 9)
- energy efficiency: +330
- renewables: + 90
- power plants w/ CCS: + 40
- nuclear: + 40
- power plants w/o CCS: 60
- fossil fuel extraction: 120

Industries : CO₂ emissions

About 50 % of the electricity used by Thailand's cement industry in 2005 could have been saved (16 % cost-effectively), while about 20 % of the fuel use could have been reduced (80 % cost-effectively using a discount rate of 30 %) (Hasanbeigi et al., 2010a, 2011).



IPCC, AR5, WG III, Chap. 10, p. 766

Policies in developing countries

Utility demand side management (DSM) may be the most viable option to implement and finance energy efficiency programs in small developing countries (Sarkar and Singh, 2010). In a developing country context, it is common practice to house DSM programmes within the local utilities due to their healthy financial means and strong technical and implementation capacities, for example, in Argentina, South Africa, Brazil, India, **Thailand**, Uruguay and Vietnam (Winkler and Van Es, 2007; Sarkar and Singh, 2010).

ENTAL PANEL ON CLIMATE Cha

IPCC, AR5, WG III, Chap. 9, p. 721

Co-bebefits of mitigation: Socio-economic, environmental, and health effects Example: Reduced traffic congestion. Congestion (...) creates substantial economic cost. For example, (...) Time lost was valued at 1.2% of

For example, (...) Time lost was valued at 1.2% of GDP in the UK; 3.4% in Dakar, Senegal; 4 % in Manila, Philippines; 3.3 % to 5.3 % in Beijing, China; **1 % to 6 % in Bangkok, Thailand (World Bank, 2002)** and up to 10 % in Lima, Peru where people on average spend around four hours in daily travel.



IPCC, AR5, WG III, Chap. 8, p. 631





IPCC AR5 Synthesis Report

Useful links:

- z <u>www.ipcc.ch</u> : IPCC (reports and videos)
- z <u>www.climate.be/vanyp</u> : my slides and candidature to become IPCC Chair
- z www.skepticalscience.com: excellent responses to climate confusers' arguments
- Z On Twitter: @JPvanYpersele and @IPCC_CH

Jean-Pascal van Ypersele (vanyp@climate.be)