



### FAQ5.1: The United Nations Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs)

The link between sustainable development and limiting global warming to 1.5°C is recognised by the Sustainable Development Goal for climate action (SDG 13)



**Caption:** Climate change action is one of the United Nations Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs) and is connected to sustainable development more broadly. Actions to reduce climate risk can interact with other sustainable development objectives in positive ways (synergies) and negative ways (trade-offs).

# SPM3a Global emissions pathway characteristics

### Global total net CO2 emissions



Non-CO<sub>2</sub> emissions relative to 2010

Emissions of non-CO<sub>2</sub> forcers are also reduced or limited in pathways limiting global warming to 1.5°C with **no or limited overshoot**, but they do not reach zero globally.

#### Methane emissions



#### Black carbon emissions



#### Nitrous oxide emissions







# SPM3b Characteristics of four illustrative model pathways

### Breakdown of contributions to global net CO<sub>2</sub> emissions in four illustrative model pathways



P1: A scenario in which social, business, and technological innovations result in lower energy demand up to 2050 while living standards rise, especially in the global South. A down-sized energy system enables rapid decarbonisation of energy supply. Afforestation is the only CDR option considered; neither fossil fuels with CCS nor BECCS are used. P2: A scenario with a broad focus on sustainability including energy intensity, human development, economic convergence and international cooperation, as well as shifts towards sustainable and healthy consumption patterns, low-carbon technology innovation, and well-managed land systems with limited societal acceptability for BECCS.



P3: A middle-of-the-road scenario in which societal as well as technological development follows historical patterns. Emissions reductions are mainly achieved by changing the way in which energy and products are produced, and to a lesser degree by reductions in demand.

INTERGOVERNMENTAL PANEL ON Climate change



P4: A resource and energy-intensive scenario in which economic growth and globalization lead to widespread adoption of greenhouse-gas intensive lifestyles, including high demand for transportation fuels and livestock products. Emissions reductions are mainly achieved through technological means, making strong use of CDR through the deployment of BECCS.

# SPM3b Characteristics of four illustrative model pathways

Global indicators	P1	P2	P3	P4	Interquartile range
Pathway classification	No or low overshoot	No or low overshoot	No or low overshoot	High overshoot	No or low overshoot
COL emission change in 2030 (% rel to 2010)	-58	-47	-41	4	(-59,-40)
- in 2050 (% rel to 2010)	-93	-95	-91	-97	(-104,-91)
(yoto-GHG emissions* in 2030 (% rel to 2010)	-50	-49	-35	-2	(-55,-38)
- in 2050 (% rel to 2010)	-82	-89	-78	-80	(-93,-81)
inal energy demand** in 2030 (% rel to 2010)	-15	-5	17	39	(-12, 7)
- In 2050 (% ref to 2010)	-32	2	21	44	(-11, 22)
Renewable share in electricity in 2030 (%)	60	58	48	25	(47, 65)
- in 2050 (%)	77	81	63	70	(69, 87)
rimary energy from coal in 2030 (% rel to 2010)	-78	-61	-75	-59	(-78, -59)
- in 2050 (% rel to 2010)	-97	-77	-73	-97	(-95, -74)
from oil in 2030 (% rel to 2010)	-37	-13	-1	86	(-34,3)
- In 2050 (% rel to 2010)	-87	-50	-81	-37	(-78,-31)
from gas in 2030 (% rel to 2010)	-25	-20	33	37	(-26,21)
- in 2050 (% rel to 2010)	-74	-53	21	-48	(-56,6)
from nuclear in 2030 (% rel to 2010)	59	83	98	106	(44,102)
- in 2050 (% rel ta 2010)	150	98	501	468	(91,190)
from biomass in 2030 (% rel to 2010)	-11	0	36	-1	(29,80)
- in 2050 (% rel to 2010)	-16	49	121	418	(123,261)
from non-biomass renewables in 2030 (% rel to 2010)	430	470	315	110	(243,438)
- in 2050 (% rel to 2010)	832	1327	878	1137	(575,1300)
umulative CCS until 2100 (GICOs)	0	348	687	1218	(550, 1017)
of which BECCS (GtCDr)	0	151	414	1191	(364, 662)
and area of bioenergy craps in 2050 (million hectore)	22	93	283	724	(151, 320)
gricultural CH+ emissions in 2030 (% rel to 2010)	-24	-48	1	14	(-30,-11)
In 2050 (% rel to 2010)	-33	-69	-23	2	(-46,-23)
Igricultural N=O emissions in 2030 (% rel to 2010)	5	-26	15	3	(-21,4)
in 2050 (% rel to 2010)	6	-26	0	39	(-26,1)

NOTE: Indicators have been selected to show global trends identified by the Chapter 2 assessment. National and sectoral characteristics can differ substantially from the global trends shown above.

\* Kypta-gas emissions are based on SAR GWP-100

\*\* Changes in energy demand are associated with improvements in energy efficiency and behaviour change





# SPM3b Characteristics of four illustrative model pathways

Global indicators Pathway classification	P1 No or low overshoot	P2 No or low overshoot	P3 No or low overshoot	P4 High overshoot	No or low overshoot
- in 2050 (% rel to 2010)	-93	-95	-91	-97	(-104,-91)
Kyoto-GHG emissions* in 2030 (% rel to 2010)	-50	-49	-35	-2	(-55,-38)
- in 2050 (% rel to 2010)	-82	-89	-78	-80	(-93,-81)
Final energy demand <sup>**</sup> in 2030 (% rel to 2010)	-15	-5	17	39	(-12,7)
- in 2050 (% rel to 2010)	-32	2	21	44	(-11, 22)
Renewable share in electricity in 2030 (%)	60	58	48	25	(47,65)
- in 2050 (%)	77	81	63	70	(69, 87)
Primary energy from coal in 2030 (% rel to 2010)	-78	-61	-75	-59	(-78, -59)
- in 2050 (% rel to 2010)	-97	-77	-73	-97	(-95, -74)
from oil in 2030 (% rel to 2010)	-37	-13	-1	86	(-34,3)
In 2050 (% rel to 2010)	-87	-50	-81	-32	(-78,-31)
from gas in 2030 (% rel to 2010)	-25	-20	33	37	(-26,21)
- in 2050 (% rel to 2010)	-74	-53	21	-48	(-56,6)
from nuclear in 2030 (% rel to 2010)	59	83	98	106	(44,102)
- in 2050 (% rel to 2010)	150	98	501	468	(91,190)
from biomass in 2030 (% rel to 2010)	-11	0	36	-1	(29,80)
- in 2050 (% rel to 2010)	-16	49	121	418	(123,261)
from non-biomass renewables in 2030 (% rel to 2010)	430	470	315	110	(243,438)
- Ja 2050 /06 nol ta 2010)	835	1327	R78	1137	(575 1300)
Cumulative CCS until 2100 (GtCDz)	0	348	687	1218	(550, 1017)
- of which BECCS (GtCD <sub>2</sub> )	0	151	414	1191	(364, 662)
Land area of bioenergy craps in 2050 (million hectore)	22	93	283	724	(151, 320)
Agricultural CH+ emissions in 2030 (% rel to 2010)	-24	-48	1	14	(-30,-11)
In 2050 (% rel to 2010)	-33	-69	-23	2	(-46,-23)
Agricultural N2D emissions in 2030 (% rel to 2010)	5	-26	15	3	(-21,4)
in 2050 (% rel to 2010)	6	-26	0	39	(-26.1)

# Temperature and emissions

### Energy systems

Carbon dioxide removal

### Agriculture

**ipcc** 



NOTE: Indicators have been selected to show global trends identified by the Chapter 2 assessment. National and sectoral characteristics can differ substantially from the global trends shown above. \* Kyota-gas emissions are based on SAR GWP-100

\*\* Changes in energy demand are associated with improvements in energy efficiency and behaviour change

# SPM4 Indicative linkages between mitigation and sustainable development using SDGs (the linkages do not show costs and benefit)

### Length shows strength of connection



The overall size of the coloured bars depict the relative for synergies and trade-offs between the sectoral mitigation options and the SDGs.

### Shades show level of confidence



The shades depict the level of confidence of the assessed potential for **Trade-offs/Synergies**.



ipcc 《

# SPM4 Indicative linkages between mitigation and sustainable development using SDGs (the linkages do not show costs and benefit)

#### Shades show level of confidence Length shows strength of connection The overall size of the coloured bars depict the relative for The shades depict the level of confidence of the synergies and trade-offs between the sectoral mitigation assessed potential for Trade-offs/Synergies. options and the SDGs. Very High Low Energy-supply Energy-demand and Trade-offs Synergies Trade-offs Synergies Trade-offs Synergies SDG 10 Reduced Inequality **SDG 11** Sustainable Cities and Communities SDG 12 Responsible Consumption and Production SDG 14 Life Below Water SDG 15 Life on Land SDG 16 16 Peace and Justice Strong Institutions SDG 17 Partnerships for \* the Goals

INTERGOVERNMENTAL PANEL ON Climate change



Deploying mitigation options consistent with 1.5° C pathways has multiple synergies across a range of SDGs. The rapid pace and magnitude of change if not carefully managed, would lead to trade-offs with some SDGs

- The number of synergies between mitigation response options and SDGs exceeds the number of trade-offs in energy demand and supply sectors, Agriculture, Forestry and Other Land Use (AFOLU) and for oceans
- Robust synergies: particularly for the SDGs 3 (health), 7 (clean energy), 12 (responsible consumption and production), and 14 (oceans)
- Risk of trade-offs or negative side-effects from stringent mitigation actions: SDGs 1 (poverty), 2 (hunger), 6 (water), and 7 (energy access),

Pathways compatible with 1.5° C that feature <u>low-energy demand</u> show the most pronounced synergies and the lowest number of trade-offs with respect to sustainable development and the SDGs

 Low-demand pathways, which would reduce or completely avoid the reliance on Bioenergy with CCS in 1.5° C pathways, would result in significantly reduced pressure on food security, lower food prices, and fewer people at risk of hunger The impacts of Carbon Dioxide Removal (CDR) options on SDGs depend on the type of options and the scale of deployment

- If poorly implemented, CDR options (e.g. bioenergy, BECCS and AFOLU) would lead to negative consequences.
- Appropriate design and implementation requires considering local people's needs, biodiversity, and other sustainable development dimensions

A portfolio of mitigation actions and policy instruments is necessary to limit warming to 1.5°C. <u>Redistributive policies</u> that shield the poor and vulnerable can resolve trade-offs for a range of SDGs

- Appropriate choices across the mitigation portfolio can help to maximize positive side-effects while minimizing negative side-effects
- Integration of mitigation with adaptation and sustainable development compatible with 1.5° C requires a systems perspective

Mitigation measures consistent with 1.5C create high risks for sustainable development in countries with high dependency on fossil fuels for revenue and employment generation

- These risks are caused by the reduction of global demand affecting mining activity and export revenues and challenges to rapidly decrease high carbon intensity of the domestic economy
- Targeted policies that promote diversification of the economy and the energy sector could ease this transition

Many Thanks!