





# Impacts of global warming: Where do we want to go?

At 1.5°C compared to 2°C:

- Less impacts from extreme weather where people live
- By 2100, global mean sea level rise will be around 10 cm lower .... but will continue to rise for centuries
- 10 million fewer people exposed to risk of rising seas (...less coastal ecosystems exposed)

ERNMENTAL PANEL ON CLIMATE CHAN



#### People exposed to Sea Level Rise, assuming there is no adaptation or protection



Upper values correspond to the 50° percentile; values below correspond to the 5° to 95° percentile range



# Where do we want to go?

At 1.5°C compared to 2°C:

- Lower impact on biodiversity and species
- Smaller reductions in yields of maize, rice, wheat crop yields
- Global population exposed to water shortages is up to 50% less (also less water shortages for ecosystems)



Andre Seale / Aurora Photos

## SPM 1.5°C: Terrestrial biodiversity

- Of 105,000 species studied, 6% of insects, 8% of plants and 4% of vertebrates are projected to lose over half of their climatically determined geographic range for global warming of 1.5°C, half of the respective numbers at 2°C.
- Approximately 4% (interquartile range 2–7%) of the global land area is projected to undergo a transformation of ecosystems from one type to another at 1°C of global warming, compared with 13% (interquartile range 8–20%) at 2°C. This indicates that the area at risk is projected to be approximately 50% lower at 1.5°C compared to 2°C.



# Terrestrial biodiversity

P. Smith et al. 2018

## Where do we want to go? At 1.5°C compared to 2°C:

Lower impacts on biodiversity and species



Terrestrial Meta-analysis as in SR1.5

**ILLUSTRATIVE EXAMPLE** 



Where do we want to go?

At 1.5°C compared to 2°C:

- Up to several hundred million fewer people exposed to climate-related risk and susceptible to poverty by 2050
- Lower risk to fisheries & the livelihoods that depend on them



Natalie Behring / Aurora Photos



# Where do we want to go?

### At 1.5°C compared to 2°C:

- Disproportionately high risk for Arctic, dryland regions, small island developing states and least developed countries
- Lower risks for health, livelihoods, food security, water supply, human security and economic growth
- A wide range of adaptation options can reduce climate risks; less adaptation needs at 1.5°C



Jason Florio / Aurora Photos

## Where do we want to go? At 1.5°C compared to 2°C:

 Reduced risk to human health – lower heatrelated morbidity and mortality



ILLUSTRATIVE EXAMPLE, TO BE ASSESSED IN AR6



Confidence level : M, medium; H, high; VH; very high



change.



### ...less loss and damage at 1.5°C

Confidence level : M, medium; H, high; VH; very high





#### **DBSERVATIONS**

0.8 to 1.0°C

2016

# Vulnerable ecosystem identified in AR5 and SR1.5 Warm water coral reefs under various pressures

#### Assessing risk of global warming



Even in a 1.5°C warmer world.... high risk of losing 70 to 90% of coral reefs and their services to humankind; ... even higher losses at 2°C



driven by local invasions and losses

**ILLUSTRATIVE EXAMPLE** 

Vulnerable ecosystems identified in AR5 and SR1.5:

Arctic summer sea ice systems

RCP 2.6 ambitious mitigation

1.5°C

RCP 8.5 business as usual

#### Northern Hemisphere September sea ice extent (average 2081-2100)

1 in 100 years ice-free at 1.5°C



- CMIP5 multi-model average 1986-2005
  - CMIP5 multi-model average 2081-2100
  - CMIP5 subset average 1986–2005
     CMIP5 subset average 2081–2100



> 1 in 10
years icefree at
2°C



# Ambitious emissions reductions have...

- Co-benefits for
- Human health
- **Reduced competition** for land (BECCS)

RNMENTAL PANEL ON CLIMATE Chan

- Food security for humankind
- Ecosystem restoration and carbon storage (soils and biomass)
- Biodiversity conservation



Peter Essick / Aurora Photos

# For minimizing impacts and associated risks....



Half a degree...,

*...every bit of warming matters* 

Each year matters

Each choice matters



Ashley Cooper/ Aurora Photos