Key findings and messages from the *IPCC Special Report on Climate Change and Land*

Agricultural landscape between Ankara and Hattusha, Anatolia, Turkey (40°00' N – 33°35' E)
©Yann Arthus-Bertrand | **www.yannarthusbertrand.org** | **www.goodplanet.org**

#SRCCL
www.ipcc.ch/report/SRCCL

Jim Skea, Co-Chair IPCC WG III
Kuala Lumpur, 26th October

ipcc
INTERGOVERNMENTAL PANEL ON climate change

WMO UNEP

CLIMATE CHANGE AND LAND

An IPCC Special Report on climate change, desertification, land degradation, sustainable land management, food security, and greenhouse gas fluxes in terrestrial ecosystems.



REPORT COVER IMAGE:
Agricultural landscape between Ankara and Hattusha, Anatolia, Turkey (40°00' N – 33°35' E)
©Yann Arthus-Bertrand | **www.yannarthusbertrand.org** | **www.goodplanet.org**

SRCCL REPORT BY THE NUMBERS



Authors included:
Scientists engaged with IPBES and UNCCD; FAO employees

“Land is a critical resource –
we rely on it for food, water, health and wellbeing – but it is already under growing human pressure. Climate change is adding to these pressures

What is happening with our land?

- Current use of land and loss of biodiversity are unprecedented in human history: Climate change adds to these challenges.
- Warming over land has occurred at a faster rate than the global average:1.53°C warming by 006–2015.
- The frequency and intensity of some extreme events have increased due to global warming. They will continue to increase under medium and high emission scenarios.
- This will impact ecosystems, food security and land processes
- Agriculture, Forestry and Other Land Use (AFOLU) results in both emissions and removals of CO_2, CH_4, and N_2O to and from the atmosphere - contributes 22% to net anthropogenic emissions.
- But land ecosystems also take up large amounts of carbon through natural processes

5

Land degradation and desertification

- Drylands currently cover 46% of global land and are home to 3 billion people.
- The major human drivers of desertification are expansion of croplands, unsustainable land management and increased pressure on land from population/income growth.
- Land degradation is a **driver of climate change** through emission of greenhouse gases and reduced carbon uptake.
- In some cases, land degradation can be **avoided, reduced or reversed** by implementing sustainable land management, restoration and rehabilitation practices.
- **Lack of action** to address land degradation will increase emissions and reduce carbon sinks, **inconsistent with the emission reductions** required to limit global warming to 1.5°C or 2°C.
- Large-scale biomass production for bioenergy **increases competition for land** with **potentially serious consequences** for food security and land degradation.

Food security:

“ Coordinated action to tackle climate change can **simultaneously improve land, food security and nutrition, and help to end hunger.**

Many response options can enhance food security while advancing climate adaptation and mitigation

- Sustainable production
- Diversification of the food system
- Consumption of healthy and sustainable diets
- Reducing food loss and waste

Integrated supply and demand side options can be scaled up in all segments of the food system.

“

Better land management can play its part in tackling climate change, but it can’t do it all.

We didn't classify response options by mitigation/ adaptation: many options have multiple benefits

Responses by broad type

- Land management
- Value chain management
- Risk management

Responses by magnitude of impact (technical potential)

- > 3 Gt CO_2eq yr^{-1}
- 0.3 – 3 Gt CO_2eq yr^{-1}
- < 0.3 Gt CO_2eq yr^{-1}

Responses by impact on land competition

- No or limited competition for land
- Those that rely on additional land use change

Responses with no or limited land competition: many more co-benefits than adverse side effects



ipcc INTERGOVERNMENTAL PANEL ON climate change
WMO UNEP

The impacts of responses involving additional land use change depend on scale, implementation and governance

Bioenergy and BECCS

High level: Impacts on adaptation, desertification, land degradation and food security are maximum potential impacts, assuming carbon dioxide removal by BECCS at a scale of 11.3 $GtCO_2\ yr^{-1}$ in 2050, and noting that bioenergy without CCS can also achieve emissions reductions of up to several $GtCO_2\ yr^{-1}$ when it is a low carbon energy source {2.7.1.5; 6.4.1.1.5}. Studies linking bioenergy to food security estimate an increase in the population at risk of hunger to up to 150 million people at this level of implementation {6.4.5.1.5}. The red hatched cells for desertification and land degradation indicate that while up to 15 million km_2 of additional land is required in 2100 in 2°C scenarios which will increase pressure for desertification and land degradation, the actual area affected by this additional pressure is not easily quantified {6.4.3.1.5; 6.4.4.1.5}.



Best practice: The sign and magnitude of the effects of bioenergy and BECCS depends on the scale of deployment, the type of bioenergy feedstock, which other response options are included, and where bioenergy is grown (including prior land use and indirect land use change emissions). For example, limiting bioenergy production to marginal lands or abandoned cropland would have negligible effects on biodiversity, food security, and potentially co-benefits for land degradation; however, the benefits for mitigation could also be smaller. {Table 6.58}

13

The big picture

- The potential for mitigating climate can only be realised if **agricultural emissions** are **included in mainstream climate policy**.
- **Delayed action** will mean more of a **need to respond** to land challenges **but less potential for land-based responses** (due to climate change and other pressures).
- **Acting early** will avert or minimise risks, reduce losses and generate returns on investment but has **challenges** related to technology, upscaling and barriers. There is **enough knowledge to act now**.
- **Measuring progress towards goals** is important to decision-making, adaptive governance & policy success.
- Responses are interlinked:
  - Some have co-benefits or are more **effective when paired**.
  - Not all options increase competition for land. Some response options are **less feasible** than others.

Engaging people and good governance matter

- **Involving people** in land and climate decision making **advances synergies** and **overcomes barriers** to adaptation and mitigation.
- **Indigenous and local knowledge** can play a key role in understanding climate processes, impacts and responses.
- **Empowering women** can bolster synergies among household food security and sustainable land management.
- The significant **social and political changes required** entail a wide range of governance mechanisms.

Land is where we live

Land is under growing human pressure

Land is a part of the solution

But land can't do it all



FOR MORE INFORMATION:

Website: http://ipcc.ch

IPCC Secretariat: ipcc-sec@wmo.int

IPCC Press Office: ipcc-media@wmo.int

WG III TSU: tsu@ipcc-wg3.ac.uk

Jim Skea and Minal Pathak

Working Group III

Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change

FIND US ON:

@IPCC_CH

@IPCC

@IPCC

www.vimeo.com/ipcc

www.youtube.com/c/ipccgeneva

ipcc
INTERGOVERNMENTAL PANEL ON climate change
WMO UNEP